Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) FRALEY, MARK E.	
10/540,784		
Examiner	Art Unit	
SUSANNA MOORE	1624	

		SUSANNA MOORE	1624	
	-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPL	Y FILED <u>14 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. X The reapplication applies	eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on cation, applicant must timely file one of the following cation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe ontinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of Areplies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🔲 T	he period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
n	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A to event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire latexaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(
have been fi under 37 CF set forth in (t	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date led is the date for purposes of determining the period of extent in the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set of above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). F APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The N	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing	the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exterse of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3.	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a)	They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	ΓE below);	
` ' ==	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ∟	They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
. —	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		•	•
	licant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ption rejection and the	e the 103 and
<u></u>	s-type double patenting rejections with regards to US		ina al Aila d'ana an desar	
	rly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	it canceling the
7. For post of the second control of the sec	ourposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [the new or amended claims would be rejected is provestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: n(s) allowed: 39. n(s) objected to: 40-42. n(s) rejected: 37,38 and 43.	·	l be entered and an ex	planation of
	n(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The a	<u>FOR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but use applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	_	<u> </u>	
9. The a enter	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing red because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or ing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ea.
	FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note	e the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (er:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Brenda L. Coleman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624				